

SAB Meeting 8/15/12

Present: SAB- Geneva Mixon, Chelsea Gittle, Alan Apt, Peter Fiori, Jesse Seavers, Greg Willson
Guests- Al Nelson, Bill Ikler, Randy Lee (Trustee)

Dallas went over procedure

Peter: Went over the new resolution which will be voted upon 9-4-12 the resolution stated that they wanted a new plan.

Dallas: What happens at a workshop is not formal. We can only work to score the original proposal

Procedure: Public Comment 1 minute each, then discussion, then going through scorecards

Al- 1- doable it worked in '99 worked fine on a shoestring

This is sustainable because it keeps things local –vision statement trying to keep things local – shot in the arm in terms of econ sustainability

Bill Eichler—Waterfowl impacts—non motorized boating (Mark Shy is part of the annual bird count. He lives on the res and is familiar with waterfowl. He will be following up on specifics.)

The migration occurs in May and should be over by the time boating begins.

Peter will you, Bill, write down formally these comments?

Greg: comments from Arden Buck—doesn't think the survey is statistically valid b/c 1000 were contacted only 120 responded etc.

Randy Lee: Will reviewed his scorecard so we can all get a sense of how he rated the project.

Procedure of using the scorecard. Randy interpreted it as ok to put numbers in the neutral category. We need to come up with a consistent method for scoring the card. If you were to sum positive and negative columns so Randy scored this as a 57. You could have a total negative or total positive score. 0 is neutral and something that has a positive column. SAB members how did you use the numbers. Neutral is a 0. The secretary will take notes when we move. Chelsea scored hers differently. Chelsea never gave anything a score of 0. She used the neutral column. So she was either positive. Next time we meet we need to go through and make the scoring process easier. Tonight we will record a positive and negative score and ignore the neutral. Jesse thinks Chelsea's ranking has a lot of validity. Dallas ok this time to score the old way moving forward we will move to a new system

We can use this as a learning experience and add specific comments. Peter sees this as a valuable tool, this is not our only shot at the NPP. Looks like the SAB will be asked to score things 2 times, Once as the plan get going and again as the plan is almost completed. We should take the opp. to modify the doc and when we have a minute we should do so.

Alan makes a motion to recommend to the BOT continue working on a careful evaluation of BoB. Great progress has been made: Peter seconds: passes unanimously.